

## Decision Making Tools: Part III

## Sequencing of Manufacturing Process

By: Sunil Kumar Puri

Gates is the ultimate programming machine. He believes everything can be defined, examined, reduced to essentials, and rearranged into a logical sequence that will achieve a particular goal." Stewart Alsop quotes

Our brain works consciously, subconsciously as well as unconsciously and what ever our brain does consciously is what our mind does. We all use our conscious minds to process series of information in order to make decisions needed to survive. The Process is known as decision making process. However because of many uncertainties, at times we become afraid of making wrong decisions and therefore tend not to take a decision and avoid decision making. We all live in a shadow of fear and yet little was known about fear until Sigmund Freud began the study of unusual phobias. The fear of making wrong decisions combined with nervous agitation is called decidophobia.

Decision making process is a conscious effort and is about facing a situation or a question. Good decisions are efficient and effective and are made by putting to use a well engineered plan and a well focused process by defining a model. The model shall represent a way of looking at the problem considering a set of assumptions that enable us to understand and evaluate the predictable outcome.

In every day business we often come across situations when we need to make sound decisions about how to conduct day to day business effectively and efficiently. In our previous examples we discussed the problem "which orders to execute when we have a multiple choices and production constraints". In this paper we shall discuss the problem of how to sequence the production process. After going through this paper one shall be able to understand that when the production times on different machines, are different for different products by understanding the sequencing method a correct sequence of production can save a lot of production time by reducing the waiting time to minimum or close to minimum. Lean Manufacturing talks about the seven deadly wastes and one of these wastes is nothing but waiting. All processes have different time frame. All operators take different time to complete a job. Most of the times, a job is followed by another job, these two jobs have different time frame or completion time. An arbitrary sequencing of job assignment can result in a lot of waiting by the following processes.

Let us try a simple example of a printing press, the press has two machines one printing press for printing and the other a binding machine for binding the books. The Press has received orders in the sequence given below:

| Sr. No. | Printing Time | Binding Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 Days | 25 Days |
| 2 | 90 Days | 60 Days |
| 3 | 80 Days | 75 Days |
| 4 | 20 Days | 30 Days |
| 5 | 120 Days | 90 Days |
| 6 | 15 Days | 35 Days |
| 7 | 65 Days | 50 Days |

If the printer would work on first come first served basis the Calculation of processing time can be calculated as.

| Sr. No | In | Out | Binding |  | Time Idle <br> for <br> Binding <br> Mc | Idle <br> Time <br> for <br> Books |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O | Out |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | $20(0+20)$ | 20 | $45(20+25)$ | 65 |  |
| 2 | 20 | $110(20+90)$ | 110 | $170(110+60)$ | 60 |  |
| 3 | 110 | $190(110+80)$ | 190 | $265(190+75)$ | 20 | 55 |
| 4 | 190 | $210(190+20)$ | 210 | $295(265+30)$ |  |  |
| 5 | 210 | $330(210+$ <br> $120)$ | 330 | $410(330+90)$ | 35 | 65 |
| 6 | 330 | $345(330+15)$ | 345 | $445(410+35)$ |  | 35 |
| 7 | 345 | $410(345+65)$ | 410 | $495(445+50)$ |  | 155 |

From the above example it is clear that for 120 days there was no work for the Binding Machine and for 155 days the books were lying waiting for their turn to get bound.

Correct sequencing may not eliminate the waiting time but will definitely reduce it to bare minimum making the working efficient. Many heuristic methods have been proposed for it.

Johnson's Rule is a technique that can be used to minimise the completion time for a group of jobs that are to be processed on two machines or at two successive work centres. The Objectives of the Johnson's Rule are:

- To minimise the processing time for sequencing a group of jobs through two work centres.
- To minimise the total idle times on the machines.
- To minimise the flow time from the beginning of the first job until the finish of the last job.

In order for the technique to be used, following conditions must be satisfied:

- Job time (including setup and processing) must be known and constant for each job at each work centre.
- Job times must be independent of the job sequence.
- All jobs must follow the same two-setup work sequence.
- Job priorities cannot be used.

The Johnson's Rule involves four steps

1) All jobs are listed, and the processing time of each machine is listed.
2) Select the job with the shortest processing time.

If the shortest time lies on the first machine/work centre, the job is scheduled first.
If the shortest time lies on the second machine/work centre, the job is scheduled at the end.
Ties in activity times can be broken arbitrarily.
3) Once the job is scheduled, go to step 4
4) Repeat steps 2 and step 3 to the remaining jobs, working towards the centre of the sequence.

Let us try to solve the problem of the printing press using the Johnson's Rule.
Let us try a simple example of a printing press, the press has two machines one printing press for printing and one binding machine for binding the books. The Press has received orders in the sequence given below:

| S. No. | Printing Time | Binding Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Book 1 | 20 Days | 25 Days |
| Book 2 | 90 Days | 60 Days |
| Book 3 | 8o Days | 75 Days |
| Book 4 | 20 Days | 30 Days |
| Book 5 | 120 Days | 90 Days |
| Book 6 | 15 Days | 35 Days |
| Book 7 | 65 Days | 50 Days |

The Book No 6 has minimum time for printing and as it is the first process therefore this book shall be printed first, we put job no 6 in the first place.

| Sequence | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Job | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next Books with minimum number of working days of 20 are 1 and 4 in this case the decision can be arbitrary but we decide on the number of days for the following job i.e.,
binding, and place book number 1 before book number 4 as it takes lesser number of days for binding and select Book No 1 followed by Book No 4.

| Sequence | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Job | 6 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

The Book No 7 of the remaining jobs has minimum 50 days as time period in the second work i.e., binding and therefore the sequence no 7 shall be assigned to this job.

| Sequence | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Job | 6 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | 7 |

Similarly the Book No 2 also has minimum no of working days for the binding job and therefore the sequence no 6 shall be assigned to this Book.

| Sequence | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Job | 6 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 7 |

We are now left with Book No. 5 and three and following the above rule the Book No. 5 and three shall be assigned sequence 4 and five respectively.

| Sequence | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Job | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 |

With the above mentioned sequence derived by applying the Johnson's Rule we can now calculate the printing and binding schedule with both in and out timings. We can prepare the activity table which is given below:

| S. No | Printing |  |  | In | Out | In |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time Idle <br> for Binding <br> Mc | Idle Time <br> for Books |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0 | $15(0+15)$ | 15 | $50(15+35)$ |  |  |
| 1 | 15 | $35(15+20)$ | 35 | $75(50+25)$ |  | 15 |
| 4 | 35 | $55(35+20)$ | 55 | $105(75+30)$ |  | 20 |
| 5 | 55 | $175(55+120)$ | 175 | $265(175+90)$ | 70 |  |
| 3 | 175 | $255(175+80)$ | 255 | $340(265+75)$ |  | 10 |
| 2 | 255 | $345(255+90)$ | 345 | $405(345+60)$ | 5 |  |
| 7 | 345 | $410(345+65)$ | 410 | $460(410+50)$ |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | 460 | 75 | 50 |

If we compare it with the previously arranged sequence, the job of printing all seven books can now be finished in 460 days as compared to 505 , the idle time for the binding machine gets reduced to 70 days against 120 days. It is quite evident that by applying simple rules of sequencing a lot of time and money can be saved. This was a simple example but in knitwear manufacturing we encounter relatively more difficult situations everyday and still we make arbitrary decisions. If we follow these decision making tools a lot of waiting time can be reduced which will result in reduced lead times.

However in garment manufacturing there are not just two operations but in fact the number of operations at times exceed twenty. The chart given below lists number of operations needed to manufacture sweaters.

|  | Operation | Time in minutes for Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S |  | Styles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 1 | Knitting | 120 | 106 | 121 | 112 | 134 | 138 | 104 | 136 | 91.2 | 104 | 149 | 96 | 143 | 142 | 112 | 96 | 113 | 150 | 142 | 132 |
| 2 | Inspection | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 3 | Mending | 3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 3.75 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 3.5 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 3 |
| 4 | Basting | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | Wash | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 6 | Dry | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 7 | Press | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 8 | Inspection | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 9 | Cutting | 4 | 3.5 | 3.75 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.75 | 3.25 | 3.5 | 2.75 | 3.75 | 4 | 4.25 | 4.5 | 3 | 3.75 | 4 | 4.35 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 4 |
| 10 | Linking | 40 | 44 | 36.8 | 35.2 | 32.8 | 47.6 | 41.2 | 33.2 | 50 | 32.4 | 47.2 | 48 | 36.8 | 39.6 | 48.4 | 50 | 31.2 | 44.4 | 40 | 40.4 |
| 11 | Hand Sew | 10 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 11.4 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10 | 10.3 | 12 | 12.2 |
| 12 | Inspection | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 13 | Value Add | 20 | 15.6 | 19.8 | 17.4 | 11.4 | 22.8 | 12.4 | 23.8 | 27.4 | 27.8 | 27.6 | 28.6 | 18.6 | 28 | 26.2 | 16.6 | 23 | 14.8 | 17.6 | 24 |
| 14 | Inspection | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 15 | Trim | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 16 | Wash | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 17 | Dry | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 18 | Press | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 19 | Inspection | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 20 | Label | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 21 | Tag | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 22 | Fold | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 23 | Pack | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

If we make a close observation of this list we can safely deduce from the data above that most of the operations the time difference is not big enough to make a noticeable difference in the reduction of waiting time by having a correct sequence, however we also observe that many a operations have almost same timings and a few have a little time variations and about three operations have different timings.

We can therefore safely make three groups of these operations in sequence and these three groups are marked in the table given below:

| N | Operation | Time in minutes for Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Styles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 1 | Knitting | 120 | 106 | 121 | 112 | 134 | 138 | 104 | 136 | 91.2 | 104 | 149 | 96 | 143 | 142 | 112 | 96 | 113 | 150 | 142 | 132 |
| 2 | Inspection | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 3 | Mending | 3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 3.75 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 3.5 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 3 |
| 4 | Basting | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 5 | Wash | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 6 | Dry | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 7 | Press | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 8 | Inspection | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Sum 1 | 203 | 189 | 205 | 195 | 218 | 222 | 188 | 219 | 174 | 187 | 232 | 179 | 225 | 225 | 195 | 180 | 197 | 234 | 225 | 215 |
| 9 | Cutting | 4 | 3.5 | 3.75 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.75 | 3.25 | 3.5 | 2.75 | 3.75 | 4 | 4.25 | 4.5 | 3 | 3.75 | 4 | 4.35 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 4 |
| 10 | Linking | 40 | 44 | 36.8 | 35.2 | 32.8 | 47.6 | 41.2 | 33.2 | 50 | 32.4 | 47.2 | 48 | 36.8 | 39.6 | 48.4 | 50 | 31.2 | 44.4 | 40 | 40.4 |
| 11 | Hand Sew | 10 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 11.4 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10 | 10.3 | 12 | 12.2 |
| 12 | Inspection | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Sum 2 | 57 | 58.3 | 52.1 | 53.1 | 47.9 | 63.7 | 59.9 | 52.2 | 65 | 47.3 | 62.6 | 62.9 | 52.6 | 56.2 | 66.3 | 68.2 | 48.6 | 61.2 | 58.3 | 59.6 |
| 13 | Value Add | 20 | 15.6 | 19.8 | 17.4 | 11.4 | 22.8 | 12.4 | 23.8 | 27.4 | 27.8 | 27.6 | 28.6 | 18.6 | 28 | 26.2 | 16.6 | 23 | 14.8 | 17.6 | 24 |
| 14 | Inspection | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 15 | Trim | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 16 | Wash | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 17 | Dry | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 18 | Press | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 19 | Inspection | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 20 | Label | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 21 | Tag | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 22 | Fold | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 23 | Pack | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Sum 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 120 . \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 115.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 119 . \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 117.4 | 111.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 122 . \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 . \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 123 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $127 .$ $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127 . \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127 . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $128 .$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118 . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 128 . \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126 . \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 . \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 123 . \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 114 . \\ & 8 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 117.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 124 . \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

The consolidated timings of these three groups is given below:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sum | 203 | 189 | 205 | 195 | 218 | 222 | 188 | 219 | 174 | 187 | 232 | 179 | 225 | 225 | 195 | 180 | 197 | 234 | 225 | 215 |
|  | 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To solve the problem using Johnson's Rule we need to reduce these three operations to two and for this we add us Time of operation 1 with operation 2 and time of operation 2 with time of operation 3 and reduce the number of operations to two as given in table below:

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sum <br> $\mathbf{1}+2$ | 260 | 247 | 257 | 248 | 266 | 286 | 248 | 271 | 239 | 234 |
| Sum <br> $\mathbf{2 + 3}$ | 177 | 174 | 172 | 171 | 159 | 187 | 172 | 176 | 192 | 175 |


|  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sum <br> $\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{2}$ | 295 | 242 | 278 | 281 | 261 | 248 | 246 | 295 | 283 | 275 |
| Sum <br> $\mathbf{2 + 3}$ | 190 | 192 | 171 | 184 | 193 | 185 | 172 | 176 | 176 | 184 |

From the above table using the Johnson's Rule We can select the correct sequencing order as given in the tables below.

| Rank | 11 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 19 | 20 | 1 | 18 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sum <br> $1+2$ | 260 | 247 | 257 | 248 | 266 | 286 | 248 | 271 | 239 | 234 | 295 | 242 | 278 | 281 | 261 | 248 | 246 | 295 | 283 | 275 |
| Sum <br> $2+3$ | 177 | 174 | 172 | 171 | 159 | 187 | 172 | 176 | 192 | 175 | 190 | 192 | 171 | 184 | 193 | 185 | 172 | 176 | 176 | 184 |
| Rank | 9 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 7 |


| Sequ <br> ence | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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